Social Network Analysis as an Internet Security Tool

Abstract

Security devices (firewalls, IDS, IPS) produces a huge amount of data by posting each security incident/event into a Syslog database. This (big) data enables the system administrators to identify the source of the largest attacks, and the most frequently victimized/targeted server.

However, due to massive number of records generated by Syslogs, a quicker and more timely analysis is needed. **Social Network analysis** is presented here as an optimal way to quickly analyze and create actionable insights from this huge amount of data – by converting (big) data into graphics format.

Compare the typical incident entry in the syslog database:

2853776	2	2013-04-1	7 10:56:36.	6 <mark>53</mark>	202.91.16	51.254	0	23	6	fa-0-1-7206a-
dagupan	SEC-6-IPAC	CESSLOGP	12071018:	UTC:	list 150 denied	tcp 114.12	2.33.2	16(2963) (Ethe	met5/3 ()025.9e5d.d0f7) ->
202.91.17	1.77(445), 1	packet		114.1	L22.33.16	202.91.17	71.77	00259e	5dd0f7	

Where the data of interest are shaded:

- in Red (Date and time)
- in Green (Source of the Incident)
- in LightBlue (Destination of the incident)

In a typical hour, thousands of such entries would be appended to the database. A representative screen shot of such incidents would look like this:

A	В	С	D	E	F	G		н	1		J		K	L	M	N
MsgID	EngineID	DateTime	DeviceIP	Acknowledge	syslogFacility	Severity	Hostname		Message Type	Message			SyslogTag	SourceIP	DestinationIP	MacinMessage
2853777	2	56:36.7	202.91.161.254	0	23	6	fa-0-1-7206a	-dagupan	SEC-6-IPACCESSLOGRL	12071019: L	ЛС: acce	ess-list loggi	ng rate-lim	ited or missed 459	packets	0015175ac90c
2853776	2	56:36.7	202.91.161.254	0	23	6	fa-0-1-7206a	-dagupan	SEC-6-IPACCESSLOGP	12071018: 0	JTC: list :	50 denied t	cp 114.122	114.122.33.16	202.91.171.77	00259e5dd0f7
2853775	2	56:34.5	202.91.161.254	0	23	e	fa-0-1-7206a	-dagupan	SEC-6-IPACCESSLOGP	12071017: 0	JTC: list :	50 denied t	cp 202.152	202.152.199.151	202.91.166.24	002590312c02
2853774	2	56:34.5	202.91.161.254	0	23	e	fa-0-1-7206a	-dagupan	SEC-6-IPACCESSLOGP	12071016: L	JTC: list :	30 denied t	cp 192.0.2	. 192.0.2.43	122.52.49.46	0015175ac90c
2853773	2	56:32.2	202.91.161.254	0	23	6	fa-0-1-7206a	-dagupan	SEC-6-IPACCESSLOGP	12071014: L	JTC: list :	50 denied t	cp 114.39.	1114.39.138.198	202.91.172.94	002590312c02
2853772	2	56:32.2	202.91.161.254	0	23	6	fa-0-1-7206a	-dagupan	SEC-6-IPACCESSLOGP	12071015: U	JTC: list :	30 denied t	cp 192.0.2	. 192.0.2.43	122.52.49.46	0015175ac90c
2853771	2	56:31.7	74.115.208.105	0	3	3	74.115.208.1	05		BITSTOP-2A	0044C Se	curity: 529:	NT AUTHO	192.169.55.45		00259e5dd0f7
2853770	2	56:30.3	202.91.161.254	0	23	e	fa-0-1-7206a	-dagupan	SEC-6-IPACCESSLOGP	12071013: L	JTC: list :	50 denied t	cp 85.94.1	685.94.160.140	202.91.175.120	00259e5dd0f7
2853769	2	56:29.2	202.91.161.254	0	23	e	fa-0-1-7206a	-dagupan	SEC-6-IPACCESSLOGP	12071012: U	JTC: list :	50 denied t	cp 70.36.2	70.36.237.56	202.91.174.102	00259e5dd0f7
2853768	2	56:28.2	202.91.161.130	0	3	5	www			Security: 538	B: DAGUR	AN.COM\IN	AGESRV\$: User Logoff: User	Name: IMAGESRV	00259e5dd0f7
2853767	2	56:28.0	202.91.161.254	0	23	6	fa-0-1-7206a	-dagupan	SEC-6-IPACCESSLOGP	12071011: 0	JTC: list :	50 denied t	cp 212.225	212.225.138.119	202.91.170.122	002590312c02
2853766	2	56:27.0	202.91.161.254	0	23	e	fa-0-1-7206a	-dagupan	SEC-6-IPACCESSLOGP	12071010: U	JTC: list1	/MUF-in der	nied top 10	10.21.11.216	98.138.24.49	002590312c02
2853765	2	56:26.8	202.91.162.7	0	3	6	202.91.162.7			snmpd[1854	: Conne	tion from U	JDP: [202.9	202.91.161.133		00259e5dd0f7
2853764	2	56:26.7	74.115.208.105	0	3	3	74.115.208.1	05		BITSTOP-2A	0044C Se	curity: 529:	NT AUTHO	192.169.55.45		00259e5dd0f7
2853763	2	56:26.7	74.115.208.105	0	3	3	74.115.208.1	05		BITSTOP-2A	0044C Se	curity: 529:	NT AUTHO	84.241.36.207		00259e5dd0f7
2853762	2	56:25.9	202.91.161.254	0	23	6	fa-0-1-7206a	-dagupan	SEC-6-IPACCESSLOGP	12071009: 0	JTC: list :	50 denied t	cp 116.236	116.236.205.250	202.91.166.74	00259e5dd0f7
2853761	2	56:24.9	202.91.161.254	0	23	6	fa-0-1-7206a	-dagupan	SEC-6-IPACCESSLOGP	12071008: 0	JTC: list :	30 denied t	cp 192.0.2	. 192.0.2.43	122.52.49.46	0015175ac90c
2853760	2	56:23.9	202.91.161.254	0	23	e	fa-0-1-7206a	-dagupan	SEC-6-IPACCESSLOGP	12071007: U	JTC: list :	30 denied t	cp 192.0.2	. 192.0.2.43	95.211.138.143	0015175ac90c
2853759	2	56:22.8	202.91.161.254	0	23	6	fa-0-1-7206a	-dagupan	SEC-6-IPACCESSLOGP	12071006: L	JTC: list :	55 denied u	dp 202.91	202.91.161.143	199.165.76.11	0002b3ac026d
2853758	2	56:21.3	202.91.161.254	0	23	6	fa-0-1-7206a	-dagupan	SEC-6-IPACCESSLOGP	12071005: U	JTC: list :	50 denied t	cp 189.63.	189.63.8.193	202.91.160.21	002590312c02
2853757	2	56:20.1	202.91.161.254	0	23	e	fa-0-1-7206a	-dagupan	SEC-6-IPACCESSLOGP	12071004: L	JTC: list	/MUF-in der	nied top 10	. 10.21.14.170	31.13.76.8	002590312c02
2853756	2	56:19.1	202.91.161.254	0	23	6	fa-0-1-7206a	-dagupan	SEC-6-IPACCESSLOGP	12071003: U	JTC: list :	50 denied t	cp 111.242	111.242.12.46	202.91.170.90	0015175ac90c
2853755	2	56:17.8	202.91.161.254	0	23	6	fa-0-1-7206a	-dagupan	SEC-6-IPACCESSLOGP	12071002: L	JTC: list :	30 denied t	cp 192.0.2	. 192.0.2.43	121.54.58.220	0015175ac90c
2853754	2	56:16.8	202.91.161.254	0	23	e	fa-0-1-7206a	-dagupan	SEC-6-IPACCESSLOGP	12071001: L	JTC: list :	55 denied u	dp 202.91	202.91.161.143	133.100.9.2	0002b3ac026d
2853753	2	56:16.7	74.115.208.105	0	3	3	74.115.208.1	05		BITSTOP-2A	0044C Se	curity: 529:	NT AUTHO	192.169.55.45		00259e5dd0f7
2853752	2	56:15.7	202.91.161.254	0	23	6	fa-0-1-7206a	-dagupan	SEC-6-IPACCESSLOGP	12071000: L	JTC: list :	50 denied t	cp 184.106	184.106.114.220	202.91.172.85	00259e5dd0f7
2853751	2	56:14.7	202.91.161.254	0	23	e	fa-0-1-7206a	-dagupan	SEC-6-IPACCESSLOGP	12070999: U	JTC: list1	/MUF-in der	nied top 10	. 10.21.21.12	124.106.174.162	002590312c02
2853540	2	53:40.3	202.91.161.254	0	23	6	fa-0-1-7206a	-dagupan	SEC-6-IPACCESSLOGP	12070861: U	JTC: list :	50 denied t	cp 38.69.3	38.69.39.114	202.91.160.118	00259e5dd0f7
2853539	2	53:39.3	202.91.161.254	0	23	6	fa-0-1-7206a	-dagupan	SEC-6-IPACCESSLOGP	12070860: L	JTC: list :	30 denied t	cp 192.0.2	. 192.0.2.43	124.83.60.172	0015175ac90c
2853538	2	53:37.9	202.91.161.254	0	23	6	fa-0-1-7206a	-dagupan	SEC-6-IPACCESSLOGP	12070859: U	JTC: list :	50 denied t	cp 36.235.	136.235.180.127	202.91.162.36	002590312c02
2853537	2	53:36.6	202.91.161.254	0	23	6	fa-0-1-7206a	-dagupan	SEC-6-IPACCESSLOGP	12070858: 0	JTC: list \	/MUF-in der	nied top 10	. 10.21.21.12	63.150.131.10	002590312c02
2853536	2	53:36.6	202.91.161.254	0	23	6	fa-0-1-7206a	-dagupan	SEC-6-IPACCESSLOGRL	12070857: 0	JTC: acce	ess-list loggi	ng rate-lim	ited or missed 435	packets	0024e844da8f

Now compare the above Syslog list with its equivalent output in Gephi:



It is now easier for both Administrators and C-Level Executives to get a 'bird's eye' view of what is happening in their networks. The Red colored Circles represent NODES that are the **sources** of attacks, while the blue colored circles represent the target/**destination** of such attacks. The larger the size of the red circles means that there are more attacks coming out of these nodes. The thicker the lines/edges, the more traffic originates from the same source to same destination nodes.

Four Quick and Easy Steps to Social Network Analysis

- Extract Syslog SQL database records and save as CSV file format
- Convert CSV into Gephi GEXF format by using **Table2NET**¹ http://tools.medialab.sciences-po.fr/table2net/
- Load the GEXF file into Gephi
- Configure Gelphi and Extract Graph as picture.

¹ Table2NET was shared by Paul Alford in this Facebook Post: <u>https://www.facebook.com/groups/140630009439814/permalink/146327902203358/</u>

KeyStep1: Extract Data

Log in to the SQL Server via SQL MMC or SQL Query and issue the command to extract a single day's worth of syslog data:

Select * from syslog where [datetime]>=('4-20-2013') and [datetime]<('4-21-2013')

	HTD	En min e TD	DeteTime		TD		Court a set a set 1 datas	Garant
	Magin	Engineid	Datelime		IP	Acknowledged	Systogracility	SASP
1	6106	2	2013-03-20	12:19:35.027	202.91.161.254	0	23	6
2	6105	2	2013-03-20	12:19:33.963	202.91.161.254	0	23	6
3	6104	2	2013-03-20	12:19:33.683	202.91.161.130	0	3	5
4	6103	2	2013-03-20	12:19:33.683	202.91.161.130	0	3	5
5	6102	2	2013-03-20	12:19:33.840	202.91.162.7	0	3	6
6	6101	2	2013-03-20	12:19:33.700	202.91.161.130	0	3	5
7	6100	2	2013-03-20	12:19:33.700	202.91.161.130	0	3	5
8	6099	2	2013-03-20	12:19:33.700	202.91.161.130	0	3	5
9	6098	2	2013-03-20	12:19:33.700	202.91.161.130	0	3	5
10	6097	2	2013-03-20	12:19:33.700	202.91.161.130	0	3	5
11	6096	2	2013-03-20	12:19:33.683	202.91.161.130	0	3	5
12	6095	2	2013-03-20	12:19:33.667	202.91.161.130	0	3	5
13	6094	2	2013-03-20	12:19:32.963	202.91.161.254	0	23	6
14	6093	2	2013-03-20	12:19:31.950	202.91.161.254	0	23	6
15	6092	2	2013-03-20	12:19:30.933	202.91.161.254	0	23	6
16	6091	2	2013-03-20	12:19:29.917	202.91.161.254	0	23	6
17	6090	2	2013-03-20	12:19:28.903	202.91.161.254	0	23	6
18	6089	2	2013-03-20	12:19:27.917	202.91.161.254	0	23	6
19	6088	2	2013-03-20	12:19:26.887	202.91.161.254	0	23	6
20	6087	2	2013-03-20	12:19:26.793	202.91.161.139	0	3	6
21	6086	2	2013-03-20	12:19:25.887	202.91.161.254	0	23	6
22	6085	2	2013-03-20	12:19:24.887	202.91.161.254	0	23	6
23	6084	2	2013-03-20	12:19:23.887	202.91.161.254	0	23	6
24	6083	2	2013-03-20	12:19:23.653	202.91.161.130	0	3	5

Right click on the output and select [Save AS] and name the file "Syslog-2013-4.csv"

KeyStep2: Convert into Gephi Format

Using the CSV file extracted from Step1, I opened a browser and visited this website: http://tools.medialab.sciences-po.fr/table2net/ to upload and convert the CSV file into gephi format.

🗋 tools.medialab.sciences-po.fr/ta	ble2net/
	Table 2 Net
	Table 2 Net
	Load your CSV table
	It has to be comma-separated and the first row must be dedicated to column names.
	Choose File No file chosen
	Note: you can drag and drop a file

Click on "Choose File" and upload the syslog2013-4.csv. Once the upload is finished, you will see a screen similar to this:

Table 2	Net									🕂 Médi	alab Tools
Table 2 Net Extract a network from a table. Set a column for not and a column for edges. It deals with multiple items per cell.											
Loac It has to be Parsing	I YOU e comma-s	r CSV separated a	table first s and 65832	cow must be dedic 2 rows.	cated to column	names.					×
Row	MsgID	EngineID	DateTime	DeviceIP	Acknowledge	syslogFacility	Severity	Hostname	Message Type	Message	SyslogTa:
1	2853777	2	56:36.7	202.91.161.254	0	23	6	fa-0-1-7206a- dagupan	SEC-6- IPACCESSLOGRL	12071019: UTC: access- list logging rate-limited or missed 459 packets	
2	2853776	2	56:36.7	202.91.161.254	0	23	6	fa-0-1-7206a- dagupan	SEC-6- IPACCESSLOGP	12071018: UTC: list 150 denied tcp 114.122.33.16(2963) (Ethernet5/3 0025.9e5d.d0f7) ->	-
•			1		III			,			4

Scroll down this screen and select [Bipartite (Two Types of Nodes)] from the Type of Network dropdown.



Then select **SourceIP** for the First Type of nodes and then select **DestinationIP** as the Second Type of Nodes. The screen should be similar to this:

SourceIP		
Comma-separated ","		
mple of nodes extracted with the	se settings: (C sample)	
2.59.28.8 112.198.77.241 124.107.2	46.180 125.60.240.234 112.206.	53.127
Do you want attributes f	or the <i>first type</i> of node	S?
-		
elect one or several columns		
elect one or several columns		
elect one or several columns		
elect one or several columns		
elect one or several columns		
elect one or several columns	a second ture of node	52
elect one or several columns Which column defines th	e second type of node	s?
elect one or several columns Which column defines th DestIP	e second type of node	s?
elect one or several columns Which column defines th DestIP Comma-separated ","	e second type of node	s?
elect one or several columns Which column defines th DestIP Comma-separated "," Imple of nodes extracted with the	e second type of node	s?
elect one or several columns Which column defines th DestIP Comma-separated "," Imple of nodes extracted with the	e second type of node	s?
elect one or several columns Which column defines th DestIP Comma-separated "," Imple of nodes extracted with the I2.91.163.100 (202.91.163.60) (202.91	e second type of node se settings: (♂ sample) 163.31 202.91.163.31 202.91.16	s? 3.31

Then in the optional items, I choose to enable the option [weight the edges]. Then hit Build and download the network (GEXF) as show below:



KeyStep3: Load GEXF into Gephi

Run Gephi and open the downloaded Syslog-2013-4.GEXF file.

M Import report		X				
Source: syslog-201	3-4.gexf					
Issues Report						
Nodes	Nodes Issues					
🕕 🕕 Default edge t	ype set as UNDIRECTED	INFO				
() GEXF version (1.1 (deprecated)	INFO				
Graph Type:	Directed V	Auto-scale				
# of Nodes:	23327	Create missing houes				
# of Edges:	30108	New graph				
Dynamic Graph:	no	🔘 Append Graph				
Hierarchical Graph:	no	🔘 Time frame				
		OK Cancel				

I am presented with this screen once the GEXF file is loaded:

Gephi 0.8.2 - Project 0			1	— 🗉 — X
File Workspace View Tools Window Plugins	Help			
😝 Overview 🛅 Data Laboratory	📮 Preview			XI
Partition Ranking #	Graph #			Context #
Forder Loope a rank parameter			♥ Herardy	Konkes: 20027 G Edges: 2009 Directed Graph
E T Leyout # Streaming Choose a layout				
-46: Propertos>				
Present	₽ == A A A ? E • 1 T ■ [] (a) 2 −] A • A•	Arial Bold, 32 — 🕕 🔳 🔞	4	

Data cleansing/Exclusions:

I excluded records that had the following source IPs:

Source IP	Occurence
(blank)	10036
192.169.55.45	8894
192.0.2.43	6744
202.91.161.143	1733
202.91.161.153	1035
0.0.0.0	855

Non Actionable source IPs :

The Blank IP address and 0.0.0.0 do not contain actionable IP addresses.

Known False Positives:

The IP 192.169.55.45 is the internal IP address of our US Server (74.115.208.105). These syslog entries consists of known "Logon Failure event" that is the "expected behavior", while the IP 192.0.2.43 is the IP address of our firewall itself that generate heartbeat packets. These are known to be "false positives". The same is true with both 202.91.161.143 and 202.91.161.153 which are company owned IP addresses that are subject to Access control list that were triggered.

I then use **Partition/Type** to color code the sourceIP (Red) and destinationIP (Blue). This helps to clearly show us which nodes are the source (of attacks) and which nodes are the destination (targets) of attacks.



Then I went to **Ranking/Nodes** and selected **Degree** to apply different Node Sizes (5 to 200) based on it. I wanted to be able to visually identify nodes that are the source of most attacks or destination of the most attacks.

Partition	Ranking 🕷	
Nodes Edge	es	🕰 🖄 📎
Degree		▼
Min size:	5 🊔	Max size: 200 🚔
Range:	0]
	0	282
Spline		📾 🚺 Apply

Then I applied Ranking/edges/**Weight. I wante**d to be able to identify the occurrence of each combination of source and destination nodes. The thicker the lines, the more the occurrence of both the source and destination in events.

Partition	Ranking 🕷	
Nodes Edge	es	🥥 🎘 🎘
Weight		•
Color:		
Range:	0	0
	1.0	893.0
Spline		📾 🔽 Apply

I then computed for the following statistics: Average Degree, Modularity, EigenVector Centrality, and Average Path Length. This will give us the following values:

Modularity Report

Parameters:

Randomize: On Use edge weights: On Resolution: 1.0

Results:

Modularity: 0.818 Modularity with resolution: 0.818 Number of Communities: 309



Eigenvector Centrality Report

Parameters:

Network Interpretation: directed Number of iterations: 100 Sum change: 0.0

Results:



Eigenvector Centrality Distribution

Here are the statistics AFTER computation is finished:

Statistics		ć	P %
Settings			
🗷 Network Overview			
Average Degree	1.215	Run	3
Avg. Weighted Degree		Run	۲
Network Diameter	1	Run	3
Graph Density	0	Run	3
нгтэ		Run	•
Modularity	0.815	Run	3
PageRank		Run	•
Erdös Number		Run	۲
Connected Components		Run	•
🖻 Node Overview			
Avg, Clustering Coefficient	0	Run	3
Clustering Coefficient	0	Run	0
Eigenvector Centrality		Run	3
🗷 Edge Overview			
Avg. Path Length	1	Run	3
Neighborhood Overlap, Embeddedr	ness	Run	3



I applied ForceAltas2 layout then hit Run. I then get an output like this:

This graph has too many data points and it takes a long time to process. Next I proceeded to filter it to reduce the data points to smaller but still significant data population for us to analyze. The use of Giant component did not reduce the node population by a significant degree.

So I then filter based on the range of Degrees that each NODE has. It reduces the number of nodes to only those that have more than 15 incidents (sum of either sourceip or destinationIP occurrence). Items with less than 15 degrees will be filtered out. The nodes with less than 15 incidents are deemed to be **'uninteresting'**. This filter helps to **focus the analysis** on the larger events.

Reset 🖪	Filters 🕷	=							
Library									
i≡··· 📫 Attrib i≣·· 📫 Ec	outes qual								
🗄 📫 In	iter Edges								
🗄 🚊 In	itra Edges								
	on-null								
	artition Cou	nt							
	ar uuon cou onao	i it							
	Betweenr	ess Centrality Double (Node							
···· T	Closenes	s Centrality Double (Node)							
T	Compone	nt ID Integer (Node)							
····	Degree Ir	nteger (Node)							
···· T	Eccentrici	ty Double (Node)							
···· T	Eigenvect	or Centrality Double (Node)							
···· T	In-Degree	e Integer (Node)							
···· T	Matchings	s Count Integer (Edge)							
···· T	Modularit	y Class Integer (Node)							
···· T	Occurren	ces Count Integer (Node)							
Y	Out-Degn	ee Integer (Node)							
I I	weight H	ioat (Eoge)							
🛨 🔲 Dyna 💷 🖆 Edgor	rnic c								
💷 💻 Cuye:	ə ətor								
🖶 📫 Tono	loav								
Save	d aueries								
•		• •							
🝸 Queries									
🖃 🍸 Rang	je (Degre	e)							
Pa	arameters								
••••• •	column: [Degree (Integer)							
	range: 15) - 282 n franc							
Second Edited and Second	rag subnite	r nere							
<u> </u>									
<u> </u>									
Range (De	gree) Setti	ngs							
Range (De	gree) Setti	ngs							
Range (De	gree) Setti	ngs J 282							
Range (De	gree) Setti	ngs] 282							
Range (De	gree) Setti	ngs 282							

Then I applied the **Fruchterman Reingold** to the Layout, enable Text labeling, apply **Noverlap** and finally applied the **Label Adjust** to the layouts.

Layout 🕷	Streaming		-		
Fruchter	man Reingold		-		
1			Stop		
Fruchterman Reingold					
Area		90000.0			
Gravity		5.0			
Speed		5.0			

I got a graphics like this:



From here, I could now easily see the number of 'Attackers' (in red) and whose node size indicates the larger number of nodes it 'attacks' (the larger the size of the red circles, the more nodes it attacks). Then there are 'thick red edges' that denote the weight (intensity) of the attacks against node/s

(destinationIPs). I also experimented with another layout by using **Yifan HU** and elected to use this Layout:





If I discount the nodes at the <u>outer</u> edges as 'uninteresting' and zoom-in I get this:

With TEXT label enabled (IP addresses shown in black):



Interpretation:

Limitation of data

As the data from the Syslogs only had Sourceip and DestinationIP addresses pairings, I had to set this up as a Bi-Partite Network as opposed to choosing Normal or the Citation type network. Thus the average clustering coefficient is 0. It is not surprising that we get the average path length and Network Diameter values to be 1.

This meant that our virtualization is limited to showing a single network's perspective, so it does not show nodes BEHIND the SourceIP. A hacker might conceivably be controlling several SourceIP in launching an attack against a network, and our syslog data will only show the different SourceIPs but NOT the IP of the hacker controlling the different SourceIPs (attacking Nodes). There would be no links from the attacking Nodes back to the hacker node.

We therefore lack the capability to detect communities outside of our own network. We are equally unable to use any of the centrality measures in a significant way.

New Insights from Gephi Graphic:

We have a new found ability to visualize the network incidents to easily show Attackers (sourcelP in **red circles**) and Victims (DestinationIP **in blue circles**). We also gain *additional insights* into the magnitude of each attack from the **thickness of the edges**, and the number of nodes targeted from the **size of the attacking Nodes** itself.

In particular, we find among several items of interest, the following:

Several in house machines (Nodes) are accessing forbidden FACEBOOK website.
 I zoomed in on the lower right corner and reproduced it below:



This shows a significant number of NODEs (sourceIP) that are connecting ('attacking') to the same destination node: **31.13.76.8**. A research shows that this IP address belongs to Facebook. Note: Facebook is banned in the internal network, these syslog events quickly shows up the number of machines attempting to connect to Facebook.



Largest attacks come from an Indian IP address.
 For this I looked at the mid-lower section of the graph and zoom in to take a closer look at the largest RED circle node in the graph:



The IP belongs to SwiftMail in India and it is apparently targeting a lot of Nodes.



3. The second largest Red Circled Node is 182.117.228.158 (Chinese IP)





And so with the rest of the largest attackers:

Data Table 🕺									
Nodes Edges 🛛 🛛 Configuration 🛛 🔂 Add node 🛨 Add edge 🏙 Search/Replace 🕮 Import Spreadsheet 🕮 Export table 👫 More action									
Nodes		Туре	Occurrences Count	In-Degree	Out-Degree	⊽Degree			
202.91.87.36	so 20	SourceIP	299	0	282	282			
• 10.21.21.9	so 10	SourceIP	372	0	134	134			
182.117.228.158	so 18	SourceIP	116	0	116	116			
202.94.147.114	so 20	SourceIP	106	0	87	87			
182,155,23,191	so 18	SourceIP	87	0	86	86			
111.179.93.16	so 11	SourceIP	81	0	81	81			
• 10.21.21.13	so 10	SourceIP	289	0	77	77			
183.63.70.130	so 18	SourceIP	78	0	77	77			
111.182.205.132	so 11	SourceIP	75	0	75	75			
• 111.2.2.123	so 11	SourceIP	74	0	73	73			
• 113.231.174.87	so 11	SourceIP	67	0	67	67			
202.152.202.145	so 20	SourceIP	67	0	67	67			
• 114.101.211.168	so 11	SourceIP	66	0	66	66			
202.83.167.42	so 20	SourceIP	69	0	65	65			
223.246.37.39	so 22	SourceIP	66	0	64	64			
163.179.13.127	so 16	SourceIP	62	0	62	62			
192.168.2.89	so 19	SourceIP	123	0	61	61			
• 10.21.13.19	so 10	SourceIP	130	0	60	60			
118.135.153.38	so 11	SourceIP	60	0	60	60			
115.240.67.242	so 11	SourceIP	57	0	57	57			

So merely investigating and responding appropriately to the top 1% of the attackers, we are effectively able to significantly reduce the number of attacks. Of the total 17359 attackers that accounted for 37,242 incidents, resolving the top 1% of the attackers (173 nodes) accounted for 17% of total incidents (6510 incidents).